UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,955 09/22/2003		James Y.J. Chung	PO7946/MD02-19 1214	
	7590 03/12/200 ERIAL SCIENCE LLC		EXAMINER	
100 BAYER R	OAD		SZEKELY, PETER A	
PITTSBURGH, PA 15205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 1714	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	03/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		_	/
4	1		
•	•		

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/667,955	CHUNG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peter Szekely	1714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja	nuary 2007.					
·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is				
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-21</u> is/are pending in the application	l.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-21</u> is/are rejected.		•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers		,				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/667,955 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 13-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ross et al. 6,610,770, in view of Beall et al. 5,804,613.
- 4. Ross et al. disclose a fire retardant polymer composition comprising smectite clay modified with one or more quaternary ammonium compounds and organic material capable of intercalating the clay and a polymer, with the organic material being present in a concentration of 1-50 wt.% of the clay (claim 1). The clay and the organic material are present in a concentration of 1-40 wt% of the polymer (claim 2). The organic material is an organic acid (claim 3). The polymer can be polycarbonate (claim 4). The clay can be montmorillonite or hectorite (column 6, lines 50-67). Dimethyl bis(hydrogenated tallow) ammonium chloride is described in column

Application/Control Number: 10/667,955

Art Unit: 1714

8, lines 35-60. The organic acid can be citric acid (column 9, line 16). Ross et al. do not mention the particle size of the clay, however Beall et al., who teach polycarbonate in clam 37 and organic acid-functional compound as intercalant material in claim 14, recite a smectite clay material having a thickness of 0.3-5 nm (column 4, lines 30-34) and an aspect ratio of 200-2000 (column 7, lines 47-50). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to select the particle size of Beall et al. for use in the composition of Ross et al., since they reveal similar compositions. The claimed impact strength improvement is inherent in the composition. The burden is shifted to applicants to prove that the composition of Ross et al., as modified by Beall et al., would not yield a polycarbonate composition with comparable impact strength.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (571) 272-1124. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m. Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1714

Peter Szekely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

P.S. 3/8/07